

[15th March 1929]

	RS.	A.	P.
A.—(1) L.M.P. ... ..	33	5	4 per mensem.
(2) L.M.S. and M.B.B.S. ... ..	50	0	0 „
(3) Medical practitioners employing qualified midwives.	An additional subsidy of Rs. 8-5-4 per mensem.		

*Transfer of rural medical practitioners.*

\* 1738 Q.—DR. B. S. MALLAYYA : Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that G.O. No. 1154, P.H., dated 14th June 1927, lays down that rural practitioners should not be transferred from one place to another ;

(b) whether in spite of that Government Order such transfers have been made by some taluk boards ; and

(c) if so, the number of such transfers and the reasons therefor ?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) & (c) The Surgeon-General has recently reported to the Government a case of the kind in a certain taluk board area. The matter is being looked into. No other cases have come to the notice of the Government.

*Increase of allowance to rural medical practitioners.*

\* 1739 Q.—MR. A. B. SHETTY : Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government propose to increase the allowance given to rural medical practitioners, and if so, by how much ;

(b) how many medical graduates are working as rural practitioners in this province ; and

(c) whether it is a fact that some taluk boards still continue to transfer rural practitioners though G.O. No. 1154, dated 14th June 1927, prohibits such transfers ?

A.—(a) Yes, as shown below :—

	Existing.	Proposed.	
	Plains and Agency.	Plains.	Agency.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
L.M.Ps. ... ..	400	500	600
L.M.Ss. and M.B.B.Ss.	600	600	700
Medical practitioners employing qualified midwives (additional subsidy).	100	300	400

(b) The Government have no information.

(c) The Surgeon-General has just reported such a case in a certain taluk board area. No other cases have come to notice.